



City of Redmond Planning Commission August 4 , 2004 Meeting Summary

Planning Commissioners in Attendance:

Kim Allen, Kate Dunn, Charlie McCarthy, Korby Parnell, Sue Petitpas, Suzanne Querry, and Martin Snodgrass

Staff and Guests in Attendance: Terry Marpert, Terry Shirk, Kim Dietz, Sarah Stiteler, Lori Peckol, Erika Vandenbrande

Business Conducted:

Chair Martin Snodgrass called the meeting to order at 7:08 p.m. in the conference room of the City of Redmond Technology Center.

The Commission approved the agenda. There were no items from the audience

Terry Shirk, Kim Dietz and Sarah Stiteler discussed the neighborhood planning process with the Commission. They described their experience with public involvement as part of the process to develop new neighborhood plans for the Grass Lawn and Willows/Sammamish Valley neighborhoods. The intent is, using their experience, to improve the neighborhood planning processes for the upcoming North Redmond and Education Hill neighborhood plans.

Sarah Stiteler discussed outstanding issues relating to the development of the Parks and Recreation Element. Commissioner Querry led the discussion of the issues. The Planning Commission completed their review of issues on the issues list, and is ready to discuss recommendations for the draft element.

Chair Snodgrass opened the discussion on the Participation and Evaluation Element. Lori Peckol described the purpose for the element and asked for ideas and issues concerning public participation and benchmarking. She also discussed whether there were any more issues relating to annexations and regional planning policies.

Chair Snodgrass opened the study session on the proposed Transportation Element. Terry Marpert described the new Version 5.0 of the element, including changes to key policies. The commissioners mostly discussed the proposed wording for the TDM policy, TR-40. Chair Snodgrass concluded that three issues remain for the commission to discuss at their Aug. 18 meeting: final wording for the TDM policy, agreement on the proposed approach to concurrency, and Policy TR-17 which delineated spending priorities for transportation. Once these are resolved, a final decision on a recommended Transportation Element can be made.

There were no reports.

The Planning Commission adjourned at 10:00 p.m.